

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,132	09/10/2003	Jerrold S. PETROFSKY	13999-2 2131 EXAMINER	
23676 7	590 11/17/2005			
SHELDON &	•	JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R		
225 SOUTH L. 9TH FLOOR	AKE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PASADENA,	CA 91101		3762	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/605,132	PETROFSKY, JERROLD S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of the state of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		-				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ri				
		· .				
Attachment(s)						
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>All to date</u> .	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-21, drawn to promoting wound healing with sink and source switching, classified in class 607, subclass 50.
- II. Claims 22-32, drawn to wound healing with feedback of healing or impedance of the wound, classified in class 607, subclass 50.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I. and II. are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions one with and one without feedback.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I. is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Rose on 11/14/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 22-32.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 1-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 22, 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Paton et al., US-6562037. Note the use of impedance feedback to regulate stimulation. Such feedback amounting to a "phase of healing".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3762

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paton et al. Although Paton et al. fail to teach the particular stimulation waveforms as claimed, it would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have altered the stimulation patterns in order to optimize device efficacy for a particular patient. While it is recognized that Paton is per se for heating, it is well known in the art that electrical current is a functional equivalent for wound healing. As to Claim 25, it would have been a mere choice in treatment design to utilize the device for multiple wounds.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 29-32 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sharkey et al. stimulate for nerve regeneration and use impedance feedback for stimulation control.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (571) 272-4947. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W 5:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m..

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R. Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762